For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

///

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	TAMIKO OLABINTAN,	No. C-04-2819 CRB (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	ORDER RE JOINT LETTER OF JUNE 22, 2006
11	SHURGARD STORAGE CENTERS, INCORPORATED, et al.,	(Docket No. 57)
12	Defendants.	(Buchet 101 e 1)
13	2 STORIGHT AND A	
14		
15		
16	Pursuant to the Court's order of June 16, 2006, the parties filed a joint letter on June 22,	
17	2006, updating the Court on their discovery disputes. According to the letter, the only dispute	
18	remaining concerns Shurgard's revised RFA related to the Labor Commission transcript.	
19	The Court hereby GRANTS Shurgard's request that Ms. Olabintan be compelled to respond	
20	to the RFA as currently phrased. Ms. Olabintan's testimony at the Labor Commission hearing may	
21	have sufficient relevancy to the instant case and would not be burdensome to admit or deny. If Ms.	
22		
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

Olabintan believes the portions of the transcript that are the subject matter of the RFA is inaccurate, she can deny the admission. Plaintiff shall have ten (10) days from the date of this order to respond to the RFA.

This order disposes of Docket No. 57.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 30, 2006

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge